







F.A.R. Part 150 Noise Compatibility Study

LINCOLN AIRPORT

F.A.R. Part 150 Noise Compatibility Study

NOISE EXPOSURE MAPS

Prepared For The Lincoln Airport Authority

By Coffman Associates, Inc.

February 2003

The preparation of this document was financed in part through a planning grant from the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) as approved under the Airport and Airway Improvement Act of 1982, as amended. The contents of this report do not necessarily reflect the official views or policy of the FAA. Acceptance of this report by the FAA does not in any way constitute a commitment on the part of the United States to participate in any development depicted therein, nor does it indicate that the proposed development is environmentally acceptable in accordance with applicable public laws.



TABLE OF CONTENTS



LINCOLN AIRPORT Lincoln, Nebraska

F.A.R. Part 150 Noise Compatibility Study

Noise Exposure Maps

NOISE EXPOSIIRE MAPS

NOISE EXI OSCRE MAI S	
SPONSOR'S CERTIFICATION	vii
Chapter One INVENTORY	
JURISDICTIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES Federal	1-2 1-8

Chapter One (Continued)

AIRPORT SETTING	1-10
Locale	1-10
Climate	1-10
AIRPORT HISTORY	1-11
AIRPORT FACILITIES	1-11
Airside Facilities	1-12
Landside Facilities	1-15
Other Facilities	
AIRSPACE AND AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	1-16
Airspace Structure	1-16
Enroute Navigational Aids	1-18
Area Airports	1-19
Instrument Approaches	1-19
Customary ATC And Flight Procedures	1-21
Existing Noise Abatement Procedures	1-22
STUDY AREA	1-23
EXISTING LAND USE	1-24
Noise-Sensitive Institutions	1-24
LAND USE PLANNING POLICIES AND REGULATIONS	
Regulatory Framework	1-25
Comprehensive Plan	
Zoning	1-28
Subdivision Regulations	
Building Codes	
Capital Improvement Program	
SUMMARY	
REFERENCES	1-39
Chapter Two	
AVIATION NOISE	
AIRCRAFT NOISE MEASUREMENT PROGRAM	2_2
Acoustical Measurements	
Measurement Results Summary	
AIRCRAFT NOISE ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY	
INM INPUT	
Airport And Study Area Description	
Activity Data	
Database Selection	
Time-Of-Day	
•	
Runway Use	∠-14

Chapter Two (Continued)

	Flight Tracks	2-15			
	Assignment Of Flight Tracks	2-16			
	Engine Maintenance Run-Ups	2-16			
INM OUTPUT 2-					
	2002 Noise Exposure Contours	2-17			
	Comparative Measurement Analysis				
	2007 Noise Exposure Contours	2-20			
	2022 Noise Exposure Contours	2-20			
SUM	IMARY				
Cha	pter Three				
NO]	ISE IMPACTS				
LAN	D USE COMPATIBILITY	. 3-2			
	F.A.R. Part 150 Guidelines				
NOIS	SE COMPLAINTS	. 3-4			
CUR	RENT NOISE EXPOSURE				
	Land Uses Exposed To 2002 Noise				
	Population Exposed To 2001 Noise				
POT	ENTIAL GROWTH RISK	. 3-8			
	Population Projections	. 3-8			
	Residential And Noise-Sensitive Land Use Growth Risk	. 3-9			
2007	NOISE EXPOSURE	3-10			
	Land Uses Exposed To 2007 Noise	3-10			
	Population Exposed To 2007 Noise	3-11			
2022	NOISE EXPOSURE	3-12			
	Land Uses Exposed To 2022 Noise	3-12			
	Population Exposed To 2022 Noise	3-13			
SUM	IMARY	3-14			
EXI	HIBITS				
1	2002 NOIGE EVROCURE MAR				
1	2002 NOISE EXPOSURE MAP after pag				
2	2007 NOISE EXPOSURE MAP after pag	e viii			
1A	LOCATION MAP after page	1-10			
1B	AIRFIELD FACILITIES after page				
1C	AIRSPACE CLASSIFICATION after page				
1D	AREA AIRSPACE after page				
1E	PUBLISHED INSTRUMENT APPROACHES after page				
1F	JURISDICTIONAL BOUNDARIES after page				

EXHIBITS (Continued)

1 G	GENERALIZED EXISTING LAND USE MAP after page 1-24
1H	COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE PLAN after page 1-28
1 J	URBAN GROWTH TIERS WITHIN THE
	EXTRATERRITORIAL JURISDICTION after page 1-28
1 K	GENERALIZED ZONING MAP after page 1-28
1L	AIRPORT ENVIRONS NOISE
	OVERLAY DISTRICT after page 1-36
2A	NOISE MONITORING LOCATIONS after page 2-4
2B	INM PROCESS after page 2-8
2C	MILITARY AIRCRAFT NOISE
	FOOTPRINT COMPARISON after page 2-10
2D	COMMERCIAL AIRCRAFT NOISE
	FOOTPRINT COMPARISON after page 2-10
2E	GENERAL AVIATION AIRCRAFT NOISE
	FOOTPRINT COMPARISON after page 2-10
2F	RADAR FLIGHT TRACK DATA after page 2-16
2G	CONSOLIDATED DEPARTURE TRACKS after page 2-16
2H	CONSOLIDATED ARRIVAL TRACKS after page 2-16
2J	TOUCH-AND-GO HELICOPTER TRACKS after page 2-16
2K	2002 NOISE EXPOSURE CONTOUR after page 2-18
2L	MEASURED AND MODELED NOISE after page 2-20
2M	2007 NOISE EXPOSURE CONTOUR after page 2-20
2 N	2022 NOISE EXPOSURE CONTOUR after page 2-20
3A	LAND USE COMPATIBILITY GUIDELINES after page 3-2
3B	ANNOYANCE CAUSED BY AIRCRAFT NOISE
	IN RESIDENTIAL AREAS after page 3-4
3C	NOISE COMPLAINT HISTORY after page 3-4
3D	2002 NOISE EXPOSURE CONTOUR WITH
	LAND USE after page 3-6
3E	GROWTH RISK AREAS after page 3-8
3F	2007 NOISE EXPOSURE CONTOUR WITH
	LAND USE after page 3-10
3G	2022 NOISE EXPOSURE CONTOUR WITH
	LAND USE after page 3-12
3H	NOISE EXPOSURE CONTOUR COMPARISON after page 3-14

Appendix A
WELCOME TO THE PLANNING
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Appendix B
COORDINATION, CONSULTATION,
AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

Appendix C INM INPUT ASSUMPTIONS AND OUTPUT REPORT

TECHNICAL INFORMATION PAPERS

GLOSSARY OF NOISE COMPATIBILITY TERMS
THE MEASUREMENT AND ANALYSIS OF SOUND
EFFECTS OF NOISE EXPOSURE
MEASURING THE IMPACT OF NOISE ON PEOPLE
NOISE AND LAND USE COMPATIBILITY GUIDELINES



NOISE EXPOSURE MAPS

F.A.R. Part 150 Noise Compatibility Study

NOISE EXPOSURE MAPS

Lincoln Airport

This document is the Noise Exposure Map document prepared for Lincoln Airport.

The Noise Exposure Maps documentation for the Airport presents current aircraft noise impacts and anticipated impacts in five years. The documentation contains sufficient information so that reviewers unfamiliar with local conditions and the local public unfamiliar with the technical aspects of aircraft noise can understand the findings.

This Noise Exposure Maps document includes the first three chapters of the complete F.A.R. Part 150 Noise Compatibility Study. Chapter One, Inventory, presents an overview of the airport, airspace, aviation facilities, existing land uses, and local land use policies and regulations.

Chapter Two, Aviation Noise, explains the methodology used to develop aircraft noise contours. It also describes the key input assumptions used for noise modeling.

Chapter Three, Noise Impacts, presents existing and forecast aircraft noise exposure based on the assumption of no additional noise abatement efforts. This provides baseline data for evaluating potential noise abatement strategies in the second part of the study. It also analyzes the impact of the baseline aircraft noise on noise-sensitive land uses and the resident population.

Supplemental information is provided in appendixes and Technical Information Papers. Appendix A lists the members of the Planning Advisory Committee (PAC) that were consulted throughout the planning process. It also includes an explanation of the role of the PAC in the process.

Appendix B, Coordination, Consultation and Public Involvement, summarizes the planning process, local coordination, and the public involvement process.

Appendix C contains the INM Assumptions and Output Report. This report provides detailed tables which depict reported aircraft operations, runway use, and day/nighttime operation split by aircraft type.

Five Technical Information Papers are provided for reference and background. These papers include the Glossary of Noise Compatibility Terms, The Measurement and Analysis of Sound, Effects of Noise Exposure, Measuring the Impact of Noise on People, and Noise and Land Use Compatibility Guidelines.

The official Noise Exposure Maps are presented in this section following page vii. For the convenience of FAA reviewers, the FAA's official Noise Exposure Map checklist is presented on pages iii through vi.

REVIEWER:	

			Yes/No/NA	Page No./ Other Reference
I.	IDE A.	INTIFICATION AND SUBMISSION OF MAP DOCUMENT: Is this submittal appropriately identified as one of the following, submitted under F.A.R. Part 150: 1. a NEM only? 2. a NEM and NCP? 3. a revision to NEMs which have previously been determined by FAA to be in compliance with Part 150?	Yes No No	Title Page, p. i
	В.	Is the airport name and the qualified airport operator identified?	Yes	Title Page, p. i
	C.	Is there a dated cover letter from the airport operator which indicates the documents are submitted under Part 150 for appropriate FAA determination?	Yes	p. viii
II.	CO A.	NSULTATION: [150.21(b), A150.105(a)] Is there a narrative description of the consultation accomplished, including opportunities for public review and comment during map development?	Yes	Appendix B; and supplemental volume, Supporting Information on Project Coordination and Local Consultation
	В.	Identification: 1. Are the consulted parties identified?	Yes	Appendices A and B; and supplemental volume, Supporting Information on Project Coordination and Local Consultation
		2. Do they include all those required by 150.21(b) and A150.105(a)?	Yes	Appendices A and B; and supplemental volume, Supporting Information on Project Coordination and Local Consultation
	C.	Does the documentation include the airport operator's certification, and evidence to support it, that interested persons have been afforded adequate opportunity to submit their views, data, and comments during map development and in accordance with 150.21(b)?	Yes	p. viii; Appendix B, and supplemental volume, Supporting Information on Project Coordination and Local Consultation
	D.	Does the document indicate whether written comments were received during consultation and, if there were comments, that they are on file with the FAA region?	Yes	Appendix B, and supplemental volume, Supporting Information on Project Coordination and Local Consultation

AIRPORT NAME: Lincoln Airport REVIEWER:______ Lincoln, Nebraska

			Yes/No/NA	Page No./ Other Reference
III.	GE A.	NERAL REQUIREMENTS: [150.21] Are there two maps, each clearly labeled on the face with year (existing condition year and 5-year)?	Yes	See NEM Maps, Exhibits 1 & 2 after p. viii
	В.	Map currency: 1. Does the existing condition map year match the year on the airport operator's submittal letter?	Yes	Current year is labeled 2002, based on actual operations from May 2001 to April 2002. This is a fair representation of existing conditions. Based on the 12 months ending December 2002, total operations were 100,339, 1.9 percent less than the operations modeled for 2002. Air carrier/air taxi operations were 20,868, 0.1 percent less than the operations modeled for 2002.
		2. Is the 5-year map based on reasonable forecasts and other planning assumptions and is it for the fifth calendar year after the year of submission?	Yes	See 2007 NEM after p. viii; Chapter Two, p. 2-1, pp. 2-8 - 2-17
		3. If the answer to 1 & 2 above is no, has the airport operator verified in writing that data in the documentation are representative of existing condition and 5-year forecast conditions as of the date of submission?	N/A	
	C.	 If the NEM and NCP are submitted together: Has the airport operator indicated whether the 5-year map is based on 5-year contours without the program vs. contours if the program is implemented? 	N/A	
		 2. If the 5-year map is based on program implementation: a. are the specific program measures which are reflected on the map identified? b. does the documentation specifically describe how these measures affect land use compatibilities depicted on the map? 	N/A N/A	
		3. If the 5-year NEM does not incorporate program implementation, has the airport operator included an additional NEM for FAA determination after the program is approved which shows program implementation conditions and which is intended to replace the 5-year NEM as the new official 5-year map?	N/A	

			Yes/No/NA	Page No./ Other Reference
IV.	[A1:	P SCALE, GRAPHICS, AND DATA REQUIREMENTS: 50.101, A150.103, A150.105, 150.21(a)] Are the maps sufficient scale to be clear and readable (they must not be less than 1" to 8,000'), and is the scale indicated on the maps?	Yes	See NEM Maps after p. viii
	B.	Is the quality of the graphics such that required information is clear and readable?	Yes	See NEM Maps after p. viii
	C.	Depiction of the airport and its environs. 1. Is the following graphically depicted to scale on both the existing conditions and 5-year maps: a. airport boundaries? b. runway configurations with runway end numbers?	Yes Yes	See NEM Maps after p. viii See NEM Maps after p. viii
		 Does the depiction of the off-airport data include: a. a land use base map depicting streets and other identifiable geographic features? b. the area within the 65 Ldn (or beyond, at local 	Yes Yes	See NEM Maps after p. viii See NEM Maps after p. viii
		discretion)?c. clear delineation of geographic boundaries and the names of all jurisdictions with planning and land use control authority within the 65 Ldn (or beyond, at local discretion)?	Yes	See NEM Maps after p. viii
	D.	1. Continuous contours for at least the 65, 70, and 75 Ldn?	Yes	See NEM Maps after p. viii
		2. Based on current airport and operational data for the existing condition year NEM, and forecast data for the 5-year NEM?	Yes	See 2007 NEM after p. viii; Chapter Two, p. 2-1, pp. 2-8 - 2-17
	E.	Flight tracks for the existing condition and 5-year forecast timeframes (these may be on supplemental graphics which must use the same land use base map as the existing condition and 5-year NEM), which are numbered to correspond to accompanying narrative?	Yes	Chapter Two, Exhibits 2F, 2G, 2H, and 2J after p. 2- 16
	F.	Locations of any noise monitoring sites (these may be on supplemental graphics which must use the same land use base map as the official NEMs)	Yes	Chapter Two, Exhibit 2A after p. 2-4
	G.	Noncompatible land use identification: 1. Are noncompatible land uses within at least the 65 Ldn depicted on the maps?	Yes	See NEM Maps after p. viii
		2. Are noise-sensitive public buildings identified?	Yes	See NEM Maps after p. viii

REVIEWER:	

				Yes/No/NA	Page No./ Other Reference
			3. Are the noncompatible uses and noise-sensitive public buildings readily identifiable and explained on the map legend?	Yes	See NEM Maps after p. viii
			4. Are compatible land uses, which would normally be considered noncompatible, explained in the accompanying narrative?	N/A	
V.			TIVE SUPPORT OF MAP DATA: [150.21(a), A150.1, 1, A150.103]		
	A.	1.	Are the technical data, including data sources, on which the NEMs are based adequately described in the narrative?	Yes	Chapter Two, pp. 2-7 - 2-17
		2.	Are the underlying technical data and planning assumptions reasonable?	Yes	Chapter Two, pp. 2-7 - 2-17
	B.	Calc	culation of Noise Contours: Is the methodology indicated? a. is it FAA approved?	Yes Yes	Chapter Two, p. 2-7 Chapter Two, p. 2-7
			b. was the same model used for both maps?	Yes	Chapter Two, p. 2-7
			c. has AEE approval been obtained for use of a model other than those which have previous blanket FAA approval?	N/A	
		2.	Correct use of noise models: a. does the documentation indicate the airport operator has adjusted or calibrated FAA-approved noise models or substituted one aircraft type for another?	No	Chapter Two, pp. 2-8 - 2-1. No calibrations done. Some composite aircraft descriptors used.
			b. if so, does this have written approval from AEE?	N/A	All aircraft INM designators used are on AEE's pre-approved list of substitutions.
		3.	If noise monitoring was used, does the narrative indicate that Part 150 guidelines were followed?	Yes	Our measurement program is discussed in Chapter 2 and can be described as a "survey type" program. Please see FAA AC 150/5020-1, Noise Control and Compatibility Planning for Airports, pp. 12-17. Our results indicate reasonable agreement between measurements and INM predictions. Where the measured values deviated from INM predictions, it was explained by operations differing from average annual conditions

REVIEWER:	

			Yes/No/NA	Page No./ Other Reference
		4. For noise contours below 65 Ldn, does the supporting documentation include explanation of local reasons? (Narrative explanation is highly desirable but not required by the Rule.)	Yes	Chapter Two, p. 2-17, Chapter Three, pp. 3-3 - 3- 4, T.I.P., Noise and Land Use Compatibility Guidelines
	C.	Noncompatible Land Use Information: 1. Does the narrative give estimates of the number of people	Yes	Chapter Three, pp. 3-7 - 3-
		residing in each of the contours (Ldn 65, 70, and 75 at a minimum) for both the existing condition and 5-year maps?		8, pp. 3-11- 3-12
		2. Does the documentation indicate whether Table 1 of Part 150 was used by the airport operator?		Chapter Three, pp. 3-2 - 3-3
		a. If a local variation to Table 1 was used; (1) does the narrative clearly indicate which adjustments were made and the local reasons for doing so?	N/A	
		(2) does the narrative include the airport operators complete substitution for Table 1?	N/A	
		3. Does the narrative include information on self-generated or ambient noise where compatible/noncompatible land use identification consider non-airport/aircraft sources?	No	
		4. Where normally noncompatible land uses are not depicted as such on the NEMs, does the narrative satisfactorily explain why, with reference to the specific geographic areas?	N/A	
		5. Does the narrative describe how forecasts will affect land use compatibility?	Yes	Chapter Three, pp. 3-5 - 3-
VI.	MAP CERTIFICATIONS: [150.21(b), 150.21(e)] A. Has the operator certified in writing that interested persons have been afforded adequate opportunity to submit views, data, and comments concerning the correctness and adequacy of the draft maps and forecasts?		Yes	Certification statements on NEM Maps and p. viii
	B. Has the operator certified in writing that each map and description of consultation and opportunity for public comment are true and complete?		Yes	Certification statements on NEM Maps and p. viii

SPONSOR'S CERTIFICATION

The Noise Exposure Maps and accompanying documentation for the Lincoln Airport,

including the description of cons	ultation and opportunity for public involvement, submitted
in accordance with F.A.R. Part 1	50, are hereby certified as true and complete to the best of
my knowledge and belief. It is he	ereby certified that adequate opportunity has been afforded
interested persons to submit vie	ws, data, and comments on the Noise Exposure maps and
forecasts. It is further certified t	hat the 2002 Noise Exposure Map and supporting data are
fair and reasonable representati	ons of existing conditions at the airport.
Date of Signature	John Wood, Executive Director
	Lincoln Airport Authority